Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/732,926	EGUCHI, KOJI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Aaron C Perez-Daple	2154
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Aaron C Perez-Daple</u> .	(3)	·
(2) Alexander Yampolsky (Reg. 36,324).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11/3/04</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103 (a)		· .
Claims discussed: 1 and 11		
Prior art documents discussed: prior art of record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview.
JOHN FOI LANSBE SUFFINISORY HALLOTT TO	E	
MECHNOLOGY CELLINO	2.C3	
la l'il		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview with SPE approval including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a telephone interview, the Examiner indicated with SPE approval that claim 1 appears allowable over the prior art of record. The Examiner, with SPE approval, would be willing to reconsider the allowability of claim 11 if amended to recite a control table storing control data corresponding to an identification number of a device associated with a product.